
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 8-K/A
CURRENT REPORT**

**Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): April 14, 2010

NASB FINANCIAL, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Missouri	0-24033	43-1805201
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	(Commission File Number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12498 South 71 Highway, Grandview, Missouri 64030
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (816) 765-2200

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 4.01 Changes in Registrant's Certifying Accountant

This current report on Form 8-K/A amends the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by NASB Financial, Inc. ("the Company") with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on April 20, 2010 (the "Original Filing") and incorporated herein by this reference. At the time of the Original Filing, the Company had not yet obtained a letter from KPMG LLP ("KPMG") to the SEC responding to the Original Filing. KPMG provided their letter to the Company on April 26, 2010. The Company is now filing this Current Report on Form 8-K/A to include KPMG's response as Exhibit 16.1

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits

(d) Exhibits

16.1 Letter from KPMG to SEC dated April 26, 2010

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated April 27, 2010

NASB FINANCIAL, INC.

By: /s/ Rhonda Nyhus
Vice President and Treasurer

April 26, 2010

Securities and Exchange Commission
Washington, D.C. 20549

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We were previously engaged as principal accountants to audit the consolidated financial statements of NASB Financial, Inc. (the Company) as of and for the year ending September 30, 2010, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2010. On April 14, 2010, we were dismissed. We have read the Company's statements included under Item 4.01 of its Form 8-K dated April 20, 2010, and we agree with such statements, except for the following:

- I. We are not in a position to agree or disagree with:
 - a. the Company's statement that the change was recommended by the audit committee of the board of directors and approved by the Company's board of directors;
 - b. the Company's statement that it re-engaged BKD, LLP to serve as its principal independent accountant to review the Company's consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, and for the duration of the year ending September 30, 2010;
 - c. the Company's statement that it intends to call the SEC's Office of the Chief Accountant to present its interpretation of authoritative guidance for measuring impairment of the investment in Central Platte and file its quarterly report for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, upon determination of the accounting method to be utilized for such period; and
 - d. the Company's statement that it provided BKD with a copy of the Form 8-K disclosures.
- II. We do not agree with:
 - a. the Company's statement that ASC 323-10 does not provide guidance on how to determine impairment on equity method investments; and
 - b. the Company's statement with respect to a possible change in its accounting method in measuring impairment of the investment in preparing the financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2009. As noted in Item 4.01(1), we believe that the Company's method of evaluating potential impairment of the investment was in error, and accordingly, a change to the appropriate method would not be considered a change in accounting principle.

In addition, prior to our dismissal, we were not provided a copy of the requested independent third-party appraisal of the fair value of the investment in Central Platte and were unable to complete our review of the Company's interim financial statements for the quarter ended December 31, 2009. On April 16, 2010, we were advised by the Company's Chief Executive Officer that on April 13, 2010 the Company received a document electronically from the independent third-party appraiser indicating a preliminary impairment in value of \$4.5 million of the Company's investment in Central Platte. We have not been provided a copy of that electronic document.

Very truly yours,

/s/ KPMG, LLP